



Internet Resource Seminar

U-Connect 2006 Almaty, Kazakhstan, 12 September 2006 APNIC & RIPE NCC



Presenters



- Joint seminar today with the presenters from both the RIPE NCC & APNIC
- Arno Meulenkamp, RIPE NCC
- Leo Vegoda, RIPE NCC
- Miwa Fujii, APNIC
- Nurani Nimpuno, APNIC













- The Internet and IP addresses – an introduction
- History of the Internet
 - Early distribution models
 - The RIR system
- The Internet today
 - Slashes & bits
 - IP address management
- The future and IPv6





The Internet and IP

What it is and how it works





- A network of networks, joining many government, university and private computers together and providing an infrastructure for the use of E-mail, bulletin boards, file archives, hypertext documents, databases and other computational resources
- The vast collection of computer networks which form and act as a single huge network for transport of data and messages across distances which can be anywhere from the same office to anywhere in the world.







- A "Network of Networks"
 - Independent networks can join a single seamless global infrastructure
- A "Dumb" network
 - TCP/IP: simple end-end packet delivery and session control
 - "Intelligence" is in applications, at the edges
- Open standards
 - Anyone can implement standards
 - Nobody needs to pay license fees
- Minimal administration
 - No centralised operational control
 - Minimal centralised administration
 - Distribution of administrative functions





- An identifier which includes information about how to find its subject
 - (according to some rules of interpretation)
- Normally hierarchical
 - Each part provides more specific detail
- For example...











- Internet identifier including information about how to reach a location
 - (via the Internet routing system)
 - IP = Internet Protocol
 - (A Protocol is "an agreed upon convention for communication")
- Public infrastructure addresses
 - Every device must have an IP address
 - Every globally-reachable address is unique









Received: from guardian.apnic.net (intgw.staff.apnic.net([192.168.1.254]) by hadrian.staff.apnic.net (8.9.3/8.9.3) with ESMTP id MAA11387 for <training@staff.apnic.net>; Thu, 30 Nov 2000 12:54:40 +1000 (EST) Received: (from mail@localhost) by guardian.apnic.net (8.9.3/8.9.3) id MAA12692 for <training@staff.apnic.net>; Thu, 30 Nov 2000 12:54:39 +1000 (EST) Received: from whois1.apnic.ne((203.37.255.98)) bv int-gw.staff.apnic.net via smap (V2.1) id xma012681; Thu, 30 Nov 00 12:54:17 +1000 Received: (from http@localhost) by ns.apnic.net (8.9.3/8.9.3) id MAA127157; Thu, 30 Nov 2000 12:54:18 +1000 (EST) Date: Thu, 30 Nov 2000 12:54:18 +1000 (EST) Message-Id: <200011300254.MAA127157@ns.apnic.net> To: training@apnic.net From : training@apnic.net Subject: Training Feedback - Singapore













 Easy to remember (well, sort of) name for a computer or service

-e.g. ripe.net, www.undp.org, www.aic.gov.kz

- Hierarchical structure providing distributed administration
- Not a proper (or useful!) directory service, but a basic mapping service
 –Technical feat is in distribution and scaling

















- IP Address = Network interface address
 - Not a computer's address
 - Nor a person's address









- No Customer addresses often change
 - Dialup addresses are "dynamic"...











- IP addresses are...
 - Internet infrastructure addresses
 - a finite Common Resource
 - not "owned" by address users
 - not dependent upon the DNS
- IP does not mean "Intellectual Property"







Internet history

A look back in time...







- 1968 DARPA
 - (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency) contracts with BBN to create ARPAnet
- 1969 First four nodes





The Internet is born...



• 1970 - Five nodes:

– UCLA – Stanford - UC Santa Barbara - U of Utah – BBN

• 1971 – 15 nodes, 23 hosts connected



- 1974 TCP specification by Vint Cert & Bob Kahn
- 1984 TCP/IP
 - On January 1, the Internet with its 1000 hosts converts en masse to using TCP/IP for its messaging







- Each IP address has two parts
 - "network" address
 - "host" address
- Initially, only 256 networks in the Internet!
- Then, network "classes" introduced:
 - Class A (128 networks x 16M hosts)
 - Class B (16,384 x 65K hosts)
 - Class C (2M x 254 hosts)



1981:

"The assignment of numbers is also handled by Jon. If you are developing a protocol or application that will require the use of a link, socket, port, protocol, or network number **please contact Jon to receive a number assignment**." (RFC 790)





Address management challenges 1992



- Address space depletion
 - IPv4 address space is finite
 - Historically, many wasteful allocations
- Routing overload
 - Legacy routing structure, router overload
 - No means to aggregate routing information
- Inequitable management
 - Unstructured and wasteful address space distribution





Evolution of address management



- 1993: Development of "CIDR"
 - Addressed both technical problems:



- 1. Address depletion
 - Through more accurate and efficient assignments
 - Variable-length network address
- 2. Routing table overload
 - Through address space aggregation
 - "Supernetting"

APN

Evolution of address management



- Administrative problems remained
 - Increasing complexity of CIDR-based allocations
 - Increasing awareness of <u>conservation</u> and <u>aggregation</u> goals
 - Need for fairness and consistency
- RFC 1366 (1992)

- RFC 1366
- Described the "growth of the Internet and its increasing globalization"
- Additional complexity of address management
- Set out the basis for a <u>regionally distributed Internet</u> <u>registry system</u>
Evolution of address management



- 1990s establishment of RIRs
 APNIC, ARIN, RIPE NCC
 - (LACNIC & AfriNIC later)
 - →Regional open processes
 - →Cooperative policy development
 - →Industry self-regulatory model













Address management today



• Five RIRs











- Regional Internet Registries
 - Distributing Internet resources in their respective regions
 - Representative of ISPs in their regions
- Member organisations
 - Open to all
 - Non-profit, neutral and independent
- First established in early 1990's
 - By consensus of the Internet community
 - Responding to needs
- In the "Internet Tradition"
 - Consensus-based, open and transparent







- Each RIR represents their region while working towards global coordination
- Principles are the same for all RIRs
 - Conservation, aggregation, registration
 - Bottom-up, open, transparent, self-regulatory
- Specific policies or services may vary
 - To meet regional needs
 - Different economical situations, topology etc
 - Different cultural and language needs
- RIRs work very closely together
 - Policy work is coordinated
 - NRO was created as a central point of contact for all five RIRs





- Internet resource allocation
 - IPv4 and IPv6 addresses, AS numbers
 - Registration services ("whois")
- Policy development and coordination
 - Open Policy Meetings and processes
- Training and outreach
 - Training courses, seminars, conferences...
 - Liaison: IETF, ITU, APT, PITA, APEC...
- Publications
 - Newsletters, reports, web site...







Slashes and bits

Classless addressing and binary numbers



Classless & classful addressing



Best Current

Practice

Classful

Classless



Obsolete

- inefficient
- depletion of B space
- too many routes from C space

Addresses	Prefix	Classful	Net Mask
8	/29		255.255.255.248
16	/28		255.255.255.240
32	/27		255.255.255.224
64	/26		255.255.255.192
128	/25		255.255.255.128
256	/24	1 C	255.255.255.0
4096	/20	16 C's	255.255.240.0
8192	/19	32 C's	255.255.224
16384	/18	64 C's	255.255.192
32768	/17	128 C's	255.255.128
65536	/16	1 B	255.255.0.0

Network boundaries may occur at any bit

APNIC	Classles	s add	ressing	- ex	kamp	oles	
,	/10: 4M hosts						
	Net: 10 b	Net: 10 bits Host address: 22 bits					
/	/19: 8190 hosts						
	Network	Network address: 19 bits Host:			Host: 1	3 bits	
/	/20: 4094 hosts						
	Network address: 20 bits Host: "			Host: 12	2 bits		
	/24: 2 <u>54 hosts</u>						
Network address: 24 bits					Host: 6 bits		
	/28: 1 <u>4 hosts</u>						
	Network a	address: 2	8 bits			·	Host: 4 bits





• Two ways of representing an address range

<u>"slash" notation</u> e.g. 172.16.0.0/12 <u>Start- & end address</u> e.g. 192.168.0.0 – 192.168.255.255

- Examples
 - -10.2.64.0/23 = 10.2.64.0 10.2.65.255
 - -192.168.24.0/27 = 192.168.24.0-192.168.24.32
 - 172.16.0.0 172.31.255.255 = 172.16.0.0/12



 $/0 = 2^{(32-0)} = 2^{32} = 4294967296$ (~4,3 Billion)









RIR policy principles

Policy and policy development in the RIPE NCC region





- RIR policies provide guidelines for the usage and administration of Internet resources (IP addresses, AS numbers etc)
 - rules for resource allocation
 - guidelines
 - recommendations
 - -Best Common Practice (BCP)





- Policies are developed by the Internet community at-large
 - Open to all
 - Includes representatives from ISPs, telcos, governments, regulators, end-users etc
- The RIRs do not set policy
 - -But facilitate the policy development process
- Principles:
 - -Open
 - Transparent
 - Bottom-up





RIPE Policy Development Process (PDP)



- Creating a proposal
- Phases
 - Discussion Phase
 - Review Phase
 - Concluding Phase



All documented



- Process itself at (ripe-350):
 - -http://www.ripe.net/ripe/docs/pdp.html
- Proposal Index
 - Timelines
 - Status
 - -Archive

	http://www.ripe.net/ripe/policies/proposals/inde	x.html								
nes	RIPE NCC							Abo		
							62193.0.0.2	32 200 F:6		
	RIPE Policy Proposals							93.0.0:203"20 15.0.132 195.0		
)	you are here: RIPE > Policy Development > Poli	cy Proposals								
	RIPE Policy Proposals									
	Anyone in the RIPE (Réseaux IP Européens) community can suggest a new policy or a change to an existing policy. You do not have to be a member of the RIPE NCC.									
e	RIPE is a collaborative forum open to anyone v performed on a voluntary basis and decisions a	who is interested in wide are made by consensus	area IP network	s. There are no	o membership requireme	ents for particip	ation in RIPE.	Its activities are		
	The RIPE NCC provides administrative support the process, but all input comes from the RIPE service region.	for RIPE. One of the job community. The RIPE	os that the RIPE NCC does not n	NCC does is t nake policies, f	o look after the <u>Policy E</u> nowever it is responsible	Development Pr e for making su	<u>ocess</u> . The RIF re that they are	PE NCC manage applied in its		
	A glossary of terms explains the phrases used	in the table below.								
	Current Policy Proposals						proposals in o	ne zip file		
	Name	Number	Submission Date	Current Phase	Current Status	Phase Ends	Action By	Suggested Working Group		
	HD-ratio Proposal	2005-01	2 February 2005	Review	OPEN FOR DISCUSSION (Awaiting Decision from WG Chair)	TBD	Hans Petter Holen	Address Policy		
	Summary: The proposal is to change the further alloc	ation criteria for IPv4 as des	cribed in <u>ripe-324</u> : '	"IPv4 Address Allo	ocation and Assignment Polic	cies for the RIPE N	CC Service Regio	n".		
	IP Assignments for anycasting DNS	2005-02	4 March 2005	Discussion	OPEN FOR DISCUSSION (Awaiting Documentation)	TBD	Andreas Baess	Address Policy		
	Summary: To enable ccTLD and gTLD nameserver op likely to be filtered by common practise for anycast-op	perators to provide their DNS eration of their DNS services	service using shar s.	red unicast techno	ology, RIPE NCC is able to as	sign one IPv4 and	IPv6 prefix per op	perator that is not		







- 12 proposals in 2005
 - -1 IPv4
 - -4 IPv6
 - -1 AS Numbers
 - -1 Multi-protocol
 - -2 Tidying-up policy documents
 - -3 RIPE NCC activities
- 2 Withdrawn
- 2 Completed







- Discussion Phase
 - IP Assignments for Anycasting DNS
 - IPv6 Initial Allocation Criteria
 - Amend the IPv6 Assignment and Utilisation Requirement
 - -4-Byte AS Numbers
- Review Phase
 - HD-ratio for IPv4
 - IPv6 Blocks from IANA to RIRs







- HD-ratio for evaluating IPv4 usage efficiency
 - Proposal to use a ratio, rather than a fixed percentage, to evaluate how efficiently addresses are used in a network.
 - -Ratio of unassigned to assigned space
 - Providing more hierarchy for network organisation







- 4-Byte AS Numbers
 - Timeline for introduction of a massively expanded number space. It will allow over 4 billion independent networks





- Consumer Broadband Monitoring Feasibility
 - Performance testing prototype
- Multicast Monitoring on RIPE NCC Test Traffic Boxes

Add multicast testing to Test Traffic Boxes







- Number of subscribers for Address Policy WG mailing lists: 1587
- Number of posts about the proposals in the AP WG mailing list: 809 from 144 unique individuals
- Number of online webcast streams: 227
- Number of unique jabber/irc users: 65







Date:2-6 OctoberCity:AmsterdamWeather:287°K

http://ripe.net/ripe/meetings/ripe-53/













AfriNIC

http://www.afrinic.net/policy.htm

• ARIN

http://www.arin.net/policy/proposals/proposal_archive.html

• APNIC

http://www.apnic.net/docs/policy/proposals/archive.html

LACNIC

http://lacnic.net/en/politicas/propuesta-politicas.html

• RIPE

http://www.ripe.net/ripe/policies/proposals/










Cumulative Total (Jan 1999 – Jun 2006)







So what about the future...?

IPv6





Rationale



- Address depletion concerns
 - Squeeze on available addresses space
- End to end connectivity declining
 - Widespread use of NAT
- Scalability
 - Increase of backbone routing table size
 - Hierarchical routing (CIDR)
- Needs to improve Internet environment
 - Encryption, authentication, and data integrity safeguards
 - Plug and Play







- 128 bits of address space
- Hexadecimal values of eight 16 bit fields
 - X:X:X:X:X:X:X:X:X (X=16 bit number, ex: A2FE)
 - 16 bit number is converted to a 4 digit hexadecimal number
- Example:
 - FE38:DCE3:124C:C1A2:BA03:6735:EF1C:683D
 - Abbreviated form of address
 - 4EED:0023:0000:0000:0000:036E:1250:2B00
 - →4EED:23:0:0:0:36E:1250:2B00
 - \rightarrow 4EED:23::36E:1250:2B00
 - (Null value can be used only once)









- If you
 - a) are an LIR (RIPE NCC member)
 - b) not an End Site
 - c) plan to provide IPv6 connectivity to aggregated "customers", who are assigned /48s
 - d) plan to assign 200 /48s within two years
- Send an "IPv6 first allocation request form" to the RIPE NCC
- Minimum allocation size /32
 - Assignment policy being discussed







IPv6 Blocks from IANA to RIRs - Global Policy



- Minimum allocation /12
- Allocation should cover 18 months needs
- Further allocation
 - The RIR's AVAILABLE SPACE is less than 50% of a /12.
 - The RIR's AVAILABLE SPACE is less than its established NECESSARY SPACE for the following nine months.
 - AVAILABLE SPACE = CURRENTLY FREE ADDRESSES + RESERVATIONS EXPIRING DURING THE FOLLOWING THREE MONTHS - FRAGMENTED SPACE
 - NECESSARY SPACE = AVERAGE NUMBER OF ADDRESSES ALLOCATED MONTHLY DURING THE PAST SIX MONTHS * LENGTH OF PERIOD IN MONTHS



Cumulative Total (Jan 1999 – Jun 2006)







IPv6 deployment country report







- China Next Generation Internet (CNGI) project
 - Started in 2002 with national initiative
 - CNGI backbone: 30-40 giga PoPs, 300 campus networks and international links
 - Following NSPs joined:
 - CERNET
 - China Telecom
 - Unicom
 - Netcom/CSTNET
 - China Mobile
 - China Railcom
- Annual IPv6 Global summit in China
 - April 2006
 - http://www.ipv6.net.cn/2006/en







- National level initiative
 - Construction of U-biquitous Society
 - IPv6 is as one of IT839 strategies
 - IT839 Strategy for the development of the IT and telecommunication industries
- Korea Telecom
 - 2006 target
 - Commercialisation of IPv6 applications and contents
 - Multimedia contents and network/mobile games
 - Multimedia Message Service (MMS)
- IPv6 Forum Korea
 - http://www.ipv6.or.kr/eng







- Continuing R&D but start utilising IPv6 in actual business services
 - NTT Communications: Nov 2005
 - http://www.ocn.ne.jp/ipv6
 - IPv6 connection services to residential users via tunnelling
 - /64 per end user
 - Plala and OnlineTV: July 2004
 - 4th Media Service
 - Multi channels and video on demand distribution services via IPv6 multicast
 - <u>http://www.plala.or.jp/access/living/releases/nr04_jul/0040708_1.html</u>
 - http://www.ipv6style.jp/jp/news/2004/0712_plala.shtml



92

Japan – OCN IPv6







Japan – OCN IPv6







94

Japan – OCN IPv6











- EU government initiative to promote IPv6 R&D
 - <u>http://europa.eu.int/information_society/policy/</u> <u>nextweb/ipv6/index_en.htm</u>
 - Information Society Technologies (IST) IPv6
 Cluster
 - -http://www.ist-ipv6.org/
 - Provides comprehensive Information for policy makers, journalist, ISP, manager, engineer, end user







NOKIA

- IPV4/IPv6 dual stack CDMA mobile phone in 2003
- Collaboration with NTT Communications to develop a Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) terminal implemented with Mobile IPv6, IPSec and RFID

http://www.nokia.com/A402958







- Transition plan for IPv6
- <u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memorand</u> <u>a/fy2005/m05-22.pdf</u>
 - Issued by Office of Management and Budget (Aug 2005)
 - Set Jun 2008: All agencies' infrastructure (network backbone) must be using IPv6
 - All new IT purchases must be IPv6 compatible
- Department of Defence (DoD)

- Plan to transit to IPv6 since Oct 2003





Where is IPv6 today?







- Post-bust conservatism...
 - Investment programs must show assured returns, across their entire life cycles
 - Reduced investment risk
 - Reduced innovation and experimentation
- Reducing emphasis on brand new services
 - ...and more on returns from existing infrastructure investments (value-adding, bundling etc)





- Volume over value
 - Supporting a network infrastructure that can push down unit cost of packet transmission largely
 - IPv6 will push the industry into providing
 - Even "thicker" transmission systems
 - Simpler, faster switching systems
 - Utility-based provider industry
 - Lightweight application transaction models
- Evolution takes millions of years
- The revolution could start any time
- Be prepared!



• A world of billions of chattering devices



• Or even trillions...











- IP address management
 - -Result of 20 years evolution of the Internet
 - Supported Internet growth to date
- No discrimination in IP address distribution

 Newcomers can still get addresses
- Come to a RIPE meeting!
 - Participate in the open processes
- Responsible management essential to keep the Internet running





Thank You

Miwa Fujii, APNIC Nurani Nimpuno, APNIC Leo Vegoda, RIPE NCC Arno Meulenkamp, RIPE NCC