

APNIC 2026 Survey Appendix B

Survey Data Tables &
Segmentation by Region & Classification of Economies



Overview

This Appendix B provides the results for all questions asked in the 2026 APNIC Survey. Results are presented as full frequencies, summary scores or rankings, as appropriate.

The data are cross-tabulated by respondents' relationship with APNIC, APNIC sub-region and classification of economies. Percentages are rounded to whole numbers in the tables; average allocations are shown to one decimal place.

Q2. What type of organization do you work for?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Internet Service Provider (ISP)	29%	35%	15%	32%	17%	25%	42%	36%	27%
Academic/Educational/Research	16%	13%	22%	18%	13%	21%	13%	14%	17%
Telecommunications/Mobile operator	11%	12%	8%	9%	12%	12%	9%	10%	11%
Government/Regulator/Municipality	7%	5%	11%	2%	14%	6%	5%	7%	6%
Hosting/Data centre	5%	7%	2%	5%	7%	5%	4%	4%	6%
Banking/Financial	5%	5%	6%	2%	3%	6%	6%	8%	3%
Enterprise/Manufacturing/Retail	4%	4%	5%	7%	6%	5%	2%	2%	6%
Non-profit/NGO/Internet community	4%	2%	7%	5%	5%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Software vendor	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%
Other	15%	14%	19%	14%	20%	15%	12%	13%	16%

Q3. What is your organization’s relationship with APNIC?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	244	434	464	490	818
We are an APNIC Member or Account Holder	70%	100%	0%	68%	82%	61%	77%	72%	70%
We are a member of an NIR in the APNIC region	15%	0%	48%	19%	5%	20%	11%	12%	15%
Other stakeholder	16%	0%	52%	13%	14%	19%	13%	16%	15%

Q4. What is your main role within the organization?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Network/Systems Operations Engineer/Manager	24%	27%	17%	22%	24%	22%	27%	27%	22%
C-Suite	17%	20%	9%	15%	18%	11%	23%	18%	17%
Network/Systems Planning Engineer/Manager	14%	15%	11%	16%	16%	11%	15%	13%	15%
IT Support	9%	8%	12%	6%	12%	12%	6%	12%	7%
Manager	9%	10%	7%	5%	11%	9%	9%	8%	9%
Academic/Research	7%	5%	10%	11%	4%	9%	5%	4%	8%
Other	21%	15%	34%	25%	17%	27%	15%	19%	21%

Q5. Approximately how many employees are in your organization?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
1 – 10	13%	13%	14%	14%	22%	12%	9%	11%	15%
11 – 50	19%	19%	19%	18%	22%	20%	19%	20%	19%
51 – 100	10%	10%	9%	6%	9%	13%	9%	12%	9%
101 – 500	20%	20%	20%	19%	15%	21%	21%	20%	19%
501 – 1,000	11%	10%	12%	12%	8%	10%	13%	12%	10%
1,000 – 10,000	18%	19%	14%	19%	18%	13%	21%	16%	19%
10,000+	6%	6%	6%	8%	4%	4%	7%	4%	6%
Don't know	3%	2%	6%	5%	1%	7%	1%	4%	3%

Q6. What is your gender?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Male	83%	86%	76%	80%	87%	78%	92%	86%	84%
Female	14%	11%	21%	17%	7%	20%	7%	13%	12%
Non-binary	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Use a different term	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Prefer not to say	2%	2%	2%	1%	5%	2%	0%	1%	3%

Q7. What is your age?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
18 – 24	11%	8%	19%	13%	2%	20%	8%	17%	8%
25 – 34	25%	23%	30%	25%	12%	27%	32%	33%	22%
35 – 44	30%	32%	27%	25%	28%	23%	39%	36%	26%
45 – 54	22%	25%	16%	26%	31%	21%	18%	12%	28%
Over 54	9%	10%	7%	8%	22%	8%	3%	1%	13%
Prefer not to say	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	0%	1%	3%

Q8. How many times have you used an APNIC service, contacted or interacted with APNIC in the past two (2) years?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
None	12%	9%	19%	11%	7%	14%	16%	18%	9%
1 – 5 times	48%	49%	46%	55%	52%	44%	48%	44%	51%
More than 5 times	29%	32%	24%	29%	33%	29%	25%	24%	31%
Don't know/can't remember	10%	10%	11%	6%	7%	13%	11%	14%	8%

Q9. Thinking about APNIC overall, how would you rate:

The quality of APNIC services and products	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,072	780	292	142	210	319	339	332	675
Very poor	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Below average	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Average	5%	6%	4%	10%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%
Above average	5%	4%	7%	8%	7%	4%	2%	3%	5%
Good	34%	34%	35%	35%	35%	37%	29%	35%	33%
Excellent	52%	54%	49%	42%	47%	52%	62%	55%	52%
Don't know	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%

The value of APNIC services and products	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,072	780	292	142	210	319	339	332	675
Very poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Below average	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Average	6%	7%	2%	10%	7%	3%	6%	7%	6%
Above average	6%	6%	7%	10%	7%	5%	5%	5%	7%
Good	33%	33%	33%	30%	33%	34%	30%	35%	31%
Excellent	51%	50%	51%	46%	43%	55%	56%	50%	52%
Don't know	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%

Q9. Thinking about APNIC overall, how would you rate:

The value of APNIC membership	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,072	780	292	142	210	319	339	332	675
Very poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Below average	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%
Average	8%	8%	5%	9%	10%	6%	7%	9%	7%
Above average	5%	5%	6%	10%	7%	3%	5%	4%	6%
Good	33%	33%	33%	27%	30%	37%	32%	36%	31%
Excellent	45%	46%	41%	43%	40%	48%	47%	44%	46%
Don't know	5%	3%	12%	6%	7%	3%	4%	4%	5%

Your experience interacting with APNIC	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,072	780	292	142	210	319	339	332	675
Very poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Below average	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Average	6%	6%	3%	11%	6%	4%	4%	6%	5%
Above average	5%	4%	6%	9%	6%	4%	3%	3%	6%
Good	34%	35%	32%	31%	35%	34%	35%	38%	32%
Excellent	51%	51%	51%	42%	47%	53%	56%	50%	52%
Don't know	2%	1%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%

Q9. Thinking about APNIC overall, how would you rate:

APNIC's responsiveness to Member and community feedback	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,072	780	292	142	210	319	339	332	675
Very poor	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Poor	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Below average	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Average	5%	5%	4%	8%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%
Above average	6%	5%	9%	8%	6%	5%	5%	5%	6%
Good	32%	32%	32%	29%	27%	34%	33%	39%	28%
Excellent	46%	46%	45%	43%	39%	50%	52%	47%	47%
Don't know	8%	8%	8%	7%	19%	4%	4%	2%	10%

Q10. When you think about APNIC, how strongly do you associate it with the following activities?

Registration and allocation of IP addresses and AS numbers, maintaining the Internet number registry (whois)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Slightly	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Moderately	9%	8%	12%	11%	3%	12%	9%	11%	8%
Strongly	26%	24%	31%	24%	18%	29%	28%	31%	23%
Very strongly	56%	61%	43%	59%	71%	49%	56%	48%	62%
Don't know	4%	3%	8%	2%	4%	5%	3%	6%	3%

Supporting deployment of Internet infrastructure (IPv6, RPKI, IXP and root servers)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Slightly	4%	5%	3%	2%	5%	3%	6%	6%	3%
Moderately	12%	11%	14%	16%	11%	11%	11%	11%	12%
Strongly	31%	32%	28%	30%	27%	33%	31%	32%	30%
Very strongly	45%	45%	45%	42%	47%	46%	45%	41%	48%
Don't know	5%	4%	7%	5%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%

Q10. When you think about APNIC, how strongly do you associate it with the following activities?

Training and skills development	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Slightly	4%	5%	3%	6%	7%	3%	3%	3%	5%
Moderately	16%	16%	15%	23%	20%	15%	11%	12%	17%
Strongly	30%	31%	27%	28%	31%	29%	31%	32%	29%
Very strongly	43%	41%	47%	35%	31%	47%	49%	46%	42%
Don't know	4%	3%	5%	4%	6%	3%	3%	4%	3%

Conferences (APNIC and APRICOT)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%
Slightly	6%	7%	5%	8%	9%	4%	5%	5%	7%
Moderately	13%	13%	12%	15%	14%	15%	10%	14%	12%
Strongly	30%	30%	30%	35%	31%	29%	29%	31%	30%
Very strongly	41%	41%	42%	33%	35%	44%	46%	42%	42%
Don't know	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%

Q10. When you think about APNIC, how strongly do you associate it with the following activities?

Internet research, insights, and information	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	4%	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Slightly	6%	7%	4%	6%	10%	4%	6%	7%	6%
Moderately	16%	16%	17%	24%	21%	15%	11%	12%	19%
Strongly	33%	33%	31%	34%	31%	32%	35%	37%	30%
Very strongly	37%	36%	39%	30%	26%	41%	41%	37%	37%
Don't know	5%	4%	6%	3%	6%	4%	4%	5%	4%

Internet policy development	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Slightly	7%	8%	5%	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%
Moderately	15%	15%	15%	18%	19%	15%	11%	14%	15%
Strongly	33%	34%	32%	38%	35%	32%	32%	34%	33%
Very strongly	35%	35%	37%	29%	25%	38%	41%	36%	36%
Don't know	6%	5%	8%	4%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%

Q10. When you think about APNIC, how strongly do you associate it with the following activities?

Internet governance	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%
Slightly	5%	6%	3%	6%	8%	4%	6%	6%	5%
Moderately	16%	15%	17%	21%	14%	17%	14%	15%	16%
Strongly	32%	34%	29%	31%	30%	32%	33%	37%	30%
Very strongly	37%	36%	39%	34%	34%	39%	39%	33%	40%
Don't know	6%	5%	8%	5%	9%	4%	5%	7%	5%

Community support (Sponsoring NOGs and Special Interest Groups (SIGs), fellowships, etc.)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	5%	6%	3%	6%	10%	3%	4%	4%	6%
Slightly	6%	7%	4%	4%	9%	5%	6%	7%	6%
Moderately	18%	18%	17%	25%	21%	15%	16%	14%	20%
Strongly	28%	27%	31%	27%	22%	33%	27%	32%	26%
Very strongly	35%	34%	37%	26%	28%	38%	41%	37%	34%
Don't know	8%	8%	8%	12%	10%	6%	7%	6%	9%

Q10. When you think about APNIC, how strongly do you associate it with the following activities?

Technical advice to key stakeholders (e.g. governments)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Not at all	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	3%	5%
Slightly	9%	10%	5%	16%	13%	4%	8%	6%	10%
Moderately	21%	21%	22%	28%	22%	21%	19%	21%	22%
Strongly	28%	28%	30%	21%	25%	32%	29%	32%	26%
Very strongly	28%	28%	30%	21%	23%	31%	33%	29%	28%
Don't know	9%	9%	8%	10%	11%	7%	8%	8%	9%

Q12. Please indicate how much you AGREE with the following:

APNIC is sufficiently open and transparent in its activities	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Somewhat disagree	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Neutral	11%	11%	12%	13%	9%	12%	12%	14%	10%
Somewhat agree	8%	8%	7%	9%	11%	8%	5%	7%	8%
Agree	41%	40%	41%	41%	37%	42%	43%	44%	40%
Strongly agree	32%	33%	30%	29%	27%	33%	36%	30%	33%
Don't know	4%	4%	4%	3%	9%	3%	2%	2%	4%

APNIC is responsive to the changing needs of its community	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Somewhat disagree	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Neutral	10%	10%	10%	11%	9%	12%	8%	10%	10%
Somewhat agree	11%	11%	10%	16%	15%	10%	9%	9%	13%
Agree	39%	39%	38%	34%	36%	38%	44%	44%	36%
Strongly agree	30%	29%	31%	24%	22%	35%	32%	30%	30%
Don't know	6%	6%	7%	9%	11%	3%	4%	4%	7%

Q12. Please indicate how much you AGREE with the following:

APNIC is respected in the Internet community	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Somewhat disagree	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Neutral	7%	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	6%
Somewhat agree	6%	6%	6%	5%	11%	6%	4%	5%	6%
Agree	37%	38%	35%	42%	35%	34%	40%	41%	35%
Strongly agree	44%	45%	43%	36%	37%	50%	47%	43%	46%
Don't know	3%	3%	4%	5%	8%	2%	2%	2%	4%

APNIC makes a positive contribution to the development of the Internet in the Asia Pacific region	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Somewhat disagree	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Neutral	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	7%	4%	7%	6%
Somewhat agree	6%	5%	8%	9%	8%	5%	4%	5%	6%
Agree	34%	35%	32%	39%	34%	30%	38%	37%	33%
Strongly agree	47%	48%	46%	40%	40%	54%	50%	46%	49%
Don't know	3%	3%	4%	3%	8%	2%	2%	2%	4%

Q13. Which of these phrases best describes the way you speak about APNIC to others?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,384	964	420	170	245	434	464	490	819
I am critical of APNIC without being asked	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%	6%	2%	6%	2%
I tend to be critical of APNIC if I am asked	4%	3%	5%	2%	7%	3%	3%	3%	4%
I am neutral	28%	26%	31%	36%	25%	29%	25%	28%	28%
I speak well about APNIC if I am asked	46%	47%	44%	44%	44%	44%	50%	44%	47%
I speak highly of APNIC without being asked	19%	20%	17%	16%	20%	18%	21%	19%	19%

Q14. Which of the following core registry services do you or your organization use?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,157	957	200	148	210	347	399	406	694
Whois	60%	63%	47%	71%	69%	55%	59%	54%	65%
Internet Routing Registry (IRR)	58%	61%	46%	60%	61%	60%	57%	52%	64%
Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI)	48%	50%	41%	60%	46%	47%	48%	44%	51%
Reverse DNS (RDNS)	37%	38%	35%	41%	40%	37%	35%	34%	40%
Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)	20%	19%	26%	27%	14%	22%	19%	20%	20%
None of these	16%	15%	16%	11%	17%	15%	18%	21%	13%

Q15. Please rank these core registry services in order of their priority to you or your organization: — Top Rank

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	890	739	151	123	168	268	286	275	567
Whois	34%	34%	37%	35%	27%	31%	42%	42%	31%
Internet Routing Registry (IRR)	32%	35%	21%	31%	42%	31%	31%	24%	38%
Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI)	19%	19%	19%	23%	17%	21%	17%	19%	19%
Reverse DNS (RDNS)	9%	8%	12%	7%	11%	9%	8%	9%	9%
Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)	7%	6%	13%	4%	3%	10%	4%	7%	5%

Q16. How valuable are the folliwng APNIC activities to your or your organisation? % Used

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,234	842	392	154	226	386	401	423	740
Research, measurement and Internet data	93%	95%	91%	94%	88%	96%	94%	94%	93%
Information and insights (DASH—Dashboard for Autonomous System Health, APNIC Blog, PING podcast)	91%	93%	88%	92%	86%	94%	94%	93%	92%
Supporting deployment of Internet infrastructure (IPv6, RPKI, IXP and root servers)	94%	96%	92%	95%	93%	96%	94%	94%	95%
Technical training and skills development	95%	95%	94%	93%	90%	97%	98%	97%	94%
Conferences and events (APRICOT, APNIC)	93%	92%	93%	92%	87%	95%	94%	95%	91%
Fellowship Program	89%	88%	89%	87%	81%	93%	92%	92%	88%
Support for NOGs and technical community groups	91%	92%	89%	91%	85%	94%	94%	93%	91%
Opportunities for networking with other community members	92%	93%	92%	90%	86%	95%	96%	96%	91%

Q16. How valuable are the folliwng APNIC activities to your or your organisation? % High Value / Essential
 (Only the specific services used have been shown – n=various)

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Research, measurement and Internet data	76%	75%	77%	77%	55%	81%	84%	83%	73%
Information and insights (DASH—Dashboard for Autonomous System Health, APNIC Blog, PING podcast)	73%	72%	75%	65%	58%	78%	80%	75%	72%
Supporting deployment of Internet infrastructure (IPv6, RPKI, IXP and root servers)	82%	84%	78%	80%	73%	85%	88%	83%	83%
Technical training and skills development	81%	80%	83%	74%	64%	88%	88%	88%	78%
Conferences and events (APRICOT, APNIC)	75%	75%	77%	74%	56%	79%	85%	81%	73%
Fellowship Program	67%	66%	70%	53%	43%	73%	81%	78%	62%
Support for NOGs and technical community groups	74%	72%	76%	68%	61%	80%	80%	79%	72%
Opportunities for networking with other community members	75%	74%	77%	61%	57%	82%	85%	82%	72%

Q18. Now, thinking about the following APNIC activities, how valuable are they to the APNIC community in the region?

A voice in global Internet governance	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Low value	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%
Moderate value	12%	12%	12%	19%	13%	13%	10%	11%	13%
High value	41%	39%	44%	43%	38%	41%	43%	46%	39%
Essential	37%	39%	33%	32%	36%	38%	40%	35%	39%
Don't know	7%	7%	8%	5%	8%	6%	5%	6%	6%

Improving government and other stakeholders' understanding of Internet-related challenges	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Low value	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	1%	1%	3%
Moderate value	13%	15%	9%	17%	15%	13%	10%	12%	14%
High value	41%	39%	46%	43%	37%	42%	45%	46%	40%
Essential	34%	36%	31%	27%	31%	37%	37%	33%	35%
Don't know	7%	6%	9%	7%	10%	5%	6%	5%	7%

Q18. Now, thinking about the following APNIC activities, how valuable are they to the APNIC community in the region?

Improving diversity of participation and representation in the community	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	2%	3%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Low value	3%	4%	2%	2%	11%	1%	1%	1%	5%
Moderate value	15%	17%	12%	25%	17%	13%	14%	13%	17%
High value	40%	38%	46%	41%	35%	42%	43%	46%	38%
Essential	30%	30%	29%	20%	20%	36%	35%	33%	29%
Don't know	9%	9%	9%	11%	13%	7%	5%	6%	9%

Research, measurement and Internet data	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Low value	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Moderate value	13%	13%	12%	18%	15%	12%	9%	11%	13%
High value	43%	43%	42%	41%	48%	43%	42%	43%	43%
Essential	37%	37%	36%	34%	25%	40%	43%	40%	36%
Don't know	5%	4%	8%	5%	7%	4%	4%	4%	5%

Q18. Now, thinking about the following APNIC activities, how valuable are they to the APNIC community in the region?

Information and insights (DASH, APNIC Blog, PING podcast)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Low value	3%	3%	3%	2%	7%	2%	1%	2%	3%
Moderate value	16%	18%	13%	24%	19%	15%	13%	14%	17%
High value	41%	40%	44%	44%	38%	40%	47%	45%	41%
Essential	29%	30%	28%	21%	22%	36%	31%	29%	30%
Don't know	8%	7%	11%	8%	12%	7%	6%	7%	8%

Supporting deployment of Internet infrastructure (IPv6, RPKI, IXP and root servers)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Low value	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Moderate value	10%	10%	10%	15%	9%	12%	8%	11%	10%
High value	40%	39%	42%	43%	40%	37%	44%	43%	39%
Essential	41%	43%	37%	35%	41%	45%	42%	39%	44%
Don't know	6%	4%	8%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	5%

Q18. Now, thinking about the following APNIC activities, how valuable are they to the APNIC community in the region?

Technical training and skills development	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Low value	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Moderate value	11%	11%	11%	19%	16%	8%	7%	7%	12%
High value	42%	41%	43%	40%	44%	42%	43%	47%	40%
Essential	38%	39%	37%	31%	26%	44%	44%	40%	38%
Don't know	5%	5%	5%	6%	8%	4%	3%	3%	5%

Conferences and events (APRICOT, APNIC)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Low value	3%	3%	3%	4%	5%	1%	2%	1%	3%
Moderate value	13%	14%	12%	16%	19%	14%	8%	10%	15%
High value	41%	40%	44%	41%	41%	39%	45%	45%	39%
Essential	34%	34%	32%	27%	21%	39%	40%	36%	33%
Don't know	8%	8%	8%	10%	11%	6%	5%	6%	8%

Q18. Now, thinking about the following APNIC activities, how valuable are they to the APNIC community in the region?

Fellowship Program	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	3%	3%	2%	3%	6%	1%	1%	1%	3%
Low value	4%	5%	3%	4%	10%	2%	3%	1%	6%
Moderate value	14%	15%	11%	19%	13%	15%	11%	12%	15%
High value	37%	35%	42%	37%	32%	37%	43%	45%	34%
Essential	30%	29%	32%	23%	15%	38%	35%	33%	29%
Don't know	12%	13%	11%	15%	24%	8%	6%	6%	14%

Support for NOGs and technical community groups	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Low value	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%
Moderate value	14%	16%	11%	17%	17%	13%	12%	12%	15%
High value	40%	39%	43%	43%	38%	40%	42%	44%	39%
Essential	33%	33%	33%	26%	25%	39%	36%	35%	32%
Don't know	9%	8%	10%	8%	14%	7%	6%	5%	9%

Q18. Now, thinking about the following APNIC activities, how valuable are they to the APNIC community in the region?

Opportunities for networking with other community members	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,175	797	378	150	208	376	376	401	706
No value	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Low value	3%	3%	2%	3%	6%	1%	1%	0%	3%
Moderate value	13%	15%	10%	24%	18%	11%	9%	10%	15%
High value	43%	41%	46%	43%	42%	43%	45%	46%	43%
Essential	32%	32%	32%	21%	17%	39%	40%	38%	30%
Don't know	7%	6%	8%	7%	13%	5%	4%	4%	7%

Q19. What information, research and insights should APNIC prioritize?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,034	707	327	128	167	337	352	367	615
Network information, research and insights	72%	74%	69%	75%	74%	72%	73%	70%	75%
Security information research and insights	67%	67%	67%	63%	66%	69%	68%	68%	67%
Knowledge sharing platforms (APNIC Blog, PING Podcast, Orbit Mailing lists, etc.)	47%	45%	50%	37%	39%	49%	50%	53%	42%
Tools and dashboards (including, but not limited to DASH)	40%	44%	32%	48%	43%	38%	37%	34%	44%
Data to support policy and regulation	39%	38%	42%	49%	43%	38%	34%	36%	41%
Other	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Don't know	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%

Q20. What should APNIC prioritize in its engagement with governments and other international bodies in the APNIC region and beyond?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	969	655	314	123	159	309	335	343	580
Providing neutral, technical expertise to support public policy and decision making	65%	64%	66%	63%	71%	63%	64%	59%	68%
Capacity building and education	54%	51%	59%	43%	47%	53%	62%	60%	50%
Contributing to collective positions on Internet governance issues	50%	51%	48%	61%	50%	55%	42%	47%	53%
Representing regional, technical perspectives in global forums	49%	51%	44%	53%	49%	49%	47%	52%	47%
Monitoring and responding to regional/global developments that impact APNIC's role	49%	49%	47%	52%	48%	51%	46%	47%	50%
Other	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Don't know	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%

Q21. Have you followed or participated in the APNIC PDP?

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,148	777	371	147	206	364	367	392	689
Yes, I have followed policy discussions	26%	25%	26%	31%	20%	27%	27%	30%	24%
Yes, I have attended an Open Policy Meeting	17%	16%	20%	18%	11%	19%	18%	21%	15%
Yes, I have voted in the consensus process	15%	15%	15%	15%	12%	14%	18%	18%	14%
Yes, I have contributed to policy discussions on the Policy Mailing list (Orbit)	13%	11%	15%	8%	7%	15%	11%	16%	9%
Yes, I have proposed a policy	7%	6%	10%	5%	4%	9%	8%	11%	5%
No	45%	47%	40%	42%	66%	35%	46%	36%	51%
Don't know	15%	14%	17%	16%	8%	21%	14%	18%	14%

Q23. How valuable would the following potential new services be for you or your organisation?

Data mapping and network views (for example, ASN to organization, IP to organization)	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	757	757	-	93	165	209	261	259	466
No value	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Low value	4%	4%	-	1%	8%	1%	2%	2%	3%
Moderate value	14%	14%	-	14%	28%	10%	8%	10%	17%
High value	40%	40%	-	54%	37%	43%	37%	39%	42%
Essential	37%	37%	-	29%	19%	42%	49%	45%	34%
Don't know	4%	4%	-	2%	6%	4%	3%	4%	4%

BGP analysis and insights	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	757	757	-	93	165	209	261	259	466
No value	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Low value	3%	3%	-	0%	10%	1%	1%	1%	4%
Moderate value	12%	12%	-	16%	18%	10%	8%	9%	13%
High value	40%	40%	-	46%	45%	38%	39%	42%	41%
Essential	40%	40%	-	38%	20%	47%	48%	43%	38%
Don't know	3%	3%	-	0%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%

Q23. How valuable would the following potential new services be for you or your organisation?

Premium customer support services	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	757	757	-	93	165	209	261	259	466
No value	3%	3%	-	5%	6%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Low value	9%	9%	-	9%	26%	4%	2%	2%	13%
Moderate value	22%	22%	-	22%	25%	24%	20%	19%	24%
High value	33%	33%	-	41%	22%	35%	37%	41%	30%
Essential	24%	24%	-	13%	7%	30%	32%	29%	20%
Don't know	9%	9%	-	11%	13%	5%	7%	8%	9%

IP abuse mitigation support	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	757	757	-	93	165	209	261	259	466
No value	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Low value	2%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Moderate value	13%	13%	-	18%	20%	10%	8%	10%	14%
High value	42%	42%	-	45%	48%	41%	40%	42%	43%
Essential	39%	39%	-	32%	26%	43%	48%	42%	38%
Don't know	3%	3%	-	1%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%

Q23. How valuable would the following potential new services be for you or your organisation?

Further improvements to routing security	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	757	757	-	93	165	209	261	259	466
No value	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Low value	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Moderate value	11%	11%	-	12%	17%	9%	7%	7%	12%
High value	41%	41%	-	48%	47%	40%	38%	42%	41%
Essential	43%	43%	-	35%	27%	48%	51%	46%	41%
Don't know	3%	3%	-	3%	5%	1%	2%	2%	3%

Advanced Internet industry data and insights	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	757	757	-	93	165	209	261	259	466
No value	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%
Low value	4%	4%	-	5%	8%	1%	2%	2%	4%
Moderate value	17%	17%	-	20%	31%	12%	13%	12%	21%
High value	41%	41%	-	43%	39%	44%	41%	46%	39%
Essential	31%	31%	-	25%	10%	40%	41%	34%	30%
Don't know	5%	5%	-	5%	8%	2%	3%	4%	5%

Q24. If successfully developed, would you consider them valuable enough to pay an additional fee to use them? — % Yes
(Only asked if consider service to be of *High Value* or *Essential* – n=various)

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Data mapping and network views (for example, ASN to organization, IP to organization)	45%	45%		39%	36%	54%	42%	53%	40%
BGP analysis and insights	49%	49%		56%	37%	55%	48%	53%	47%
Premium customer support services	53%	53%		48%	42%	58%	52%	59%	48%
IP abuse mitigation support	50%	50%		43%	48%	53%	51%	56%	47%
Further improvements to routing security	52%	52%		54%	38%	62%	52%	60%	48%
Advanced Internet industry data and insights	50%	50%		54%	36%	54%	51%	56%	47%

Q26. How often do you view or read the following? — % Always / Occasionally read

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	744	744	—	93	161	208	253	251	461
EC election-related emails from the APNIC Secretariat	62%	62%	—	60%	52%	58%	72%	71%	57%
APNIC Annual Reports	65%	65%	—	63%	58%	63%	70%	69%	63%
APNIC annual Activity Plans and Budgets	54%	54%	—	60%	40%	53%	59%	57%	52%
APNIC EC meeting minutes	48%	48%	—	38%	30%	47%	62%	57%	43%
APNIC annual financial statements	44%	44%	—	34%	31%	44%	54%	53%	39%

Q27. To what extent do you agree with the following:

APNIC’s financial reporting is transparent and sufficient to understand the organization’s financial position and preference	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Disagree	3%	3%	-	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%
Neither agree nor disagree	16%	16%	-	18%	18%	16%	13%	15%	17%
Agree	38%	38%	-	26%	22%	42%	51%	54%	30%
Strongly agree	12%	12%	-	12%	5%	20%	10%	9%	13%
Don’t know	30%	30%	-	39%	49%	20%	22%	18%	36%

APNIC Member Meetings provide sufficient information about its activities	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	3%	3%	-	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Neither agree nor disagree	14%	14%	-	14%	20%	13%	12%	11%	16%
Agree	44%	44%	-	37%	30%	47%	55%	58%	37%
Strongly agree	15%	15%	-	15%	3%	23%	16%	16%	15%
Don’t know	23%	23%	-	30%	42%	14%	15%	12%	29%

Q27. To what extent do you agree with the following:

I can find information I need about APNIC governance on the APNIC website	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Disagree	2%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Neither agree nor disagree	12%	12%	-	12%	15%	10%	13%	13%	12%
Agree	49%	49%	-	47%	42%	51%	54%	56%	46%
Strongly agree	18%	18%	-	20%	7%	25%	18%	18%	18%
Don't know	17%	17%	-	18%	32%	10%	11%	9%	20%

APNIC's governance processes and procedures are clearly defined and easy to understand	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	2%	2%	-	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%	2%
Neither agree nor disagree	17%	17%	-	16%	25%	13%	15%	16%	18%
Agree	45%	45%	-	36%	33%	47%	55%	54%	41%
Strongly agree	14%	14%	-	16%	5%	23%	13%	15%	15%
Don't know	20%	20%	-	29%	31%	14%	12%	11%	24%

Q27. To what extent do you agree with the following:

APNIC's governance processes and procedures are applied consistently	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Neither agree nor disagree	16%	16%	-	17%	23%	14%	13%	15%	17%
Agree	43%	43%	-	37%	28%	47%	52%	53%	38%
Strongly agree	15%	15%	-	15%	4%	22%	15%	15%	15%
Don't know	24%	24%	-	28%	41%	14%	17%	14%	28%

I understand how to raise issues, suggestions, or feedback with APNIC if needed	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Disagree	3%	3%	-	1%	8%	0%	3%	2%	3%
Neither agree nor disagree	14%	14%	-	13%	18%	17%	9%	13%	15%
Agree	48%	48%	-	46%	39%	49%	55%	58%	43%
Strongly agree	18%	18%	-	18%	6%	23%	20%	15%	19%
Don't know	16%	16%	-	21%	28%	10%	12%	10%	19%

Q27. To what extent do you agree with the following:

I trust that issues raised with APNIC are handled fairly and objectively	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Neither agree nor disagree	14%	14%	-	13%	19%	15%	10%	10%	16%
Agree	46%	46%	-	43%	40%	46%	52%	58%	40%
Strongly agree	22%	22%	-	22%	11%	28%	25%	20%	23%
Don't know	15%	15%	-	20%	26%	9%	11%	10%	18%

I trust that feedback and suggestions provided by Members are appropriately considered	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	731	731	-	92	159	204	247	248	451
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Disagree	4%	4%	-	4%	6%	2%	3%	3%	4%
Neither agree nor disagree	13%	13%	-	12%	16%	11%	13%	10%	15%
Agree	46%	46%	-	43%	39%	50%	51%	54%	43%
Strongly agree	21%	21%	-	17%	10%	26%	22%	22%	19%
Don't know	16%	16%	-	22%	28%	9%	10%	9%	18%

Q29. Which of the following activities should APNIC prioritize over the next 3 to 5 years?
(Average number of points out of 100)

	Total	Members	Stakeholders	East Asia	Oceania	SE Asia	South Asia	LDEs	Other
Sample size	1,037	689	348	132	189	340	314	344	628
Supporting deployment of Internet infrastructure (IPv6, RPKI, IXP and root servers)	15.5	16.1	14.4	15.6	16.9	15.1	15.2	14.7	16.0
Improving existing registry services (whois, RDAP, RPKI, RDNS and IRR)	15.1	16.2	12.9	18.4	15.8	14.0	13.6	13.4	15.6
Community and ecosystem support (Training, Conferences, NOGs, etc.)	15.1	14.8	15.6	14.6	13.9	15.2	16.1	16.3	14.6
Research, analysis, best practices and information sharing platforms	12.3	11.9	13.1	11.1	12.5	12.5	12.5	13.0	12.0
New tools and services	12.1	12.1	12.1	11.9	10.2	12.6	13.2	12.1	12.3
Advocating on behalf of the Asia Pacific Technical community with governments and international bodies	10.5	10.1	11.3	10.0	10.8	11.0	9.9	10.0	10.7
Improving organizational stability and financial sustainability	9.8	9.7	10.1	9.4	10.6	9.9	9.6	10.0	9.8
Increasing participation in the APNIC Policy Development Process	9.5	9.0	10.6	8.9	9.3	9.8	9.9	10.5	9.1