## Attachment A: Supporting information

Resolution 1


## Justification

These amendments would set eligibility requirements for nominees in Executive Council elections, including the legal requirements for company directors under Australian law, as well as ensuring that Executive Council nominees live in the APNIC region and have a connection to the community through attendance at APNIC conferences (either online or in-person).

Nominees would also be required to declare that they meet the eligibility criteria set out in the by-laws. If the nominee is elected and their declaration is later found to be false or misleading, the nominee would be automatically removed from the Executive Council.

## Resolution 2



## Justification

These amendments would help preserve the independence of the APNIC Executive Council and the Secretariat by preventing employees from APNIC or APNIC Foundation, as well as employees or board members from other RIRs or ICANN, from being nominees in an Executive Council election.

These amendments would also make the By-laws consistent with the APNIC SIG Guidelines by preventing other community elected leaders (such as SIG Chairs) from being nominees in an Executive Council election, unless they agree to resign from their other position if elected.

These amendments would limit the possibility that the Executive Council could be controlled by one economy by limiting the number of elected Executive Council members from an economy to one per year, meaning there could be no more than two elected Executive Council members from one economy serving at the same time.

## Resolution 3

| Current provision | Proposed change |
| :--- | :--- |
| (New provision) | $34 \mathrm{~F} . \quad$An individual who is involved in current litigation or <br> proceeding against APNIC in a court or tribunal (or <br> equivalent) anywhere in the world (or, where the litigant party <br> is an organisation, an individual who is a director, controlling <br> shareholder, or employee of such organisation or its related <br> bodies corporate), except where such litigation or proceeding <br> was commenced by APNIC, is not eligible to be nominated <br> nor stand for election to the Executive Council. |

## Justification

This amendment would prevent a person (either personally or through a company they are a director, controlling shareholder, or employee of) who is currently involved in litigation against APNIC from being a nominee in an Executive Council election. This would only include litigation commenced against APNIC it does not include litigation commenced by APNIC.

## Resolution 4

| Current provision |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 35. | Executive Council |
| members shall serve on |  |
| the Executive Council in |  |
|  | their personal capacity and |
|  | shall act in the best |
| interests of the APNIC |  |
| membership and not the |  |
| Member organisation to |  |
| which that individual |  |
| belongs. Only one |  |
| individual per Member |  |
| organisation may be |  |
| elected to sit on the |  |
| Executive Council. |  |


|  | (ii)the other individual(s) with the lower votes <br> will be deemed ineligible and excluded. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Justification <br> This amendment would expand the current restriction which only allows one individual per APNIC Member <br> organisation to be elected and sit on the Executive Council so that it applies to all organisations, excluding <br> certain 'Exempt Organisations'. <br> The expanded restriction would only allow one individual per company (including related companies, such <br> as subsidiaries) to be elected to sit on the Executive Council and sets out the criteria for how an <br> individual's connection to a company will be determined. |  |

## Resolution 5



|  | circumstances where the Electoral <br> Committee has acted in bad faith; and <br> submit to the decisions of the Electoral <br> Committee, which will be final and binding. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Justification |  |
| This amendment would introduce a new body, the Electoral Committee, to have oversight of nominee |  |
| eligibility and nominee conduct in Executive Council elections. |  |
| The Electoral Committee would consist of between three and seven people to be selected by those |  |
| Executive Council members not contesting the election. They will have the power to determine whether |  |
| nominees meet the eligibility criteria set out in the By-laws of APNIC as well as to create and enforce a |  |
| Code of Conduct for nominees in the election. |  |
| The Electoral Committee will have the power to remove a nominee for a breach of the Code of Conduct. |  |
| Nominees would be required to agree to be bound by the Code of Conduct, limit actions against the |  |
| Electoral Committee unless they have acted in bad faith, and recognise that the decisions of the Electoral |  |
| Committee will be final and binding. |  |

