

# Policy Proposal Translations

---

The [Open Policy Meeting](#) (OPM) at APNIC 46 will be held on 13 September 2018 in Noumea, New Caledonia, with four policy proposals up for discussion. Here is a summary of these four proposals.

## [prop-118](#): No need policy in APNIC region

This is a proposal to remove the requirement to demonstrate need when transferring IPv4 addresses into or within the APNIC region. There is an exception where the resources are from an RIR region that requires needs-based policies, in which case, recipients must provide a plan use of at least 50% of the resources within five years. This policy would not apply to AS number transfers.

## [prop-124](#): Clarification on IPv6 Sub-Assignments

Clarifies the definition of assigned address space for IPv6 delegations under section 2.2.3 of the [APNIC Internet Number Resource Policies](#) document.

When the policy was drafted, the concept of assignments/sub-assignments did not consider the use of IP addresses in hotspots, or the use of IP addresses by guests or employees in Bring Your Own Device (BYOD) and many other similar cases.

This proposal clarifies this situation in this regard and better defines the concept, particularly considering new uses of IPv6 (RFC8273), by means of additional language added to the definition of an 'Assignment'.

## [prop-125](#): Validation of "abuse-mailbox" and other IRT emails

The Internet community is based on collaboration. In many cases, however, this is not enough, and we all need to be able to contact those LIRs that may be experiencing a problem in their networks and may not be aware of the situation. This proposal aims to solve this problem by means of a simple, periodic verification of IRT object emails, and establishes the basic rules for performing such verification. It thus avoids unnecessary costs to third parties who need to contact the persons responsible for solving the abuses of a specific network.

## [prop-126](#): PDP Update

Proposes updates to the section 4 of the [APNIC Policy Development Process](#) document.

This proposal would allow increased participation, by also considering the comments in the list for the consensus determination. So, consensus would be determined balancing the mailing list and the forum, and would therefore increase community participation.

It also suggests to:

- Remove the 'double' consensus required in the Policy SIG and APNIC Member Meetings
- Limit the proposal submission deadline from 4 weeks to 1 week before the OPM
- Circulate on the SIG mailing list if the proposals reach consensus at the OPM
- Introduce an 'Appeals' process directly to the APNIC Executive Council if the proposer considers the SIG Chairs have violated the PDP

## Withdrawn

The following proposals under discussion at APNIC 44 and APNIC 45 are now withdrawn by the authors.

- [prop-119](#): Temporary transfers
- [prop-120](#): Final /8 pool exhaustion plan

- [prop-123](#): Modify 103/8 IPv4 transfer policy

## Participate! Have your say

If you want to participate in the discussions of these policy proposals, subscribe to the Policy SIG [Mailing List](#) and attend the [APNIC 46 Policy SIG](#) meeting - either in person or by [remote participation](#).