



# Policy Proposal Translation

---

## Policy Proposals to be discussed at APNIC 44

The Open Policy Meeting at APNIC 44 in Taichung, Taiwan, will be held across three sessions on 13 and 14 September 2017.

Community members interested in participating in the discussion of the following proposals, should participate in the Policy SIG Mailing List and attend the Policy SIG meeting – either in person or by remote participation.

### **prop-116: Prohibit to transfer IPv4 addresses in the final /8 block**

This proposal would prohibit the transfer of Final /8 block addresses (103/8) for two years after their initial allocation/assignment. Until that time, 103/8 address blocks that are no longer needed must be returned to APNIC for redistribution. This restriction applies to both market and merger and acquisition transfers.

### **prop-118: No need policy in APNIC region**

This proposal is to remove the requirement to demonstrate need when transferring IPv4 addresses into or within the APNIC region. There is an exception where the resources are from an RIR region that requires needs-based policies, in which case, recipients must provide a plan use of at least 50% of the resources within five years. This policy would not apply to AS number transfers.

### **prop-119: Temporary transfers**

A proposal to create a mechanism for temporary transfers that would allow organizations to have resources directly registered under them while they are the custodians of these resources. This could apply to ‘customer assignments’ or to commercial leasing as an alternative to traditional ‘market’ transfer.

### **prop-120: Final /8 pool exhaustion plan**

This proposal puts forward a planned approach to the exhaustion of the Final /8 pool. It involves reverting APNIC resource management to a single free pool. It does not alter the amount of address space Members may receive from APNIC, but it does give waiting list preference to new Members.

### **prop-121: Updating “Initial IPv6 allocation” policy**

A proposal to alter the allocation of IPv6 space by not specifying the number of assignments an LIR should plan to make within two years. Also, where more than the minimum delegation is required, this proposal would remove the HD-ratio as the basis of assessment and allow requestors to justify space according to their structure or other factors.

### **prop-122: Updating “Subsequent IPv6 allocation” policy**

This proposal is designed to bring subsequent IPv6 allocation policy in line with prop-121. Subsequent allocation size would not be set at doubling the size of the initial delegation and would allow an organization to provide documentation justifying its requirements based on structure and other factors. Attainment of an acceptable HD-ratio for assignments, would still be required to justify the additional allocation.

## Useful Links

- [Subscribe to the Policy Discussion mailing list](#)

- <https://mailman.apnic.net/mailling-lists/sig-policy>
- Visit the APNIC 44 policy page
  - <https://conference.apnic.net/44/policy>