



# APNIC 44 Policy Proposal Outcomes

---

## Outcomes of Policy Proposals discussed at APNIC 44

An Open Policy Meeting at APNIC 44 in Taichung, Taiwan considered the following proposals.

### **prop-116: Prohibit to transfer IPv4 addresses in the final /8 block**

Reaching consensus with modifications, this proposal is now in the final Comment Period before implementation. The APNIC Executive Council has placed a moratorium on all resources potentially affected by the final outcome of this proposal. If implemented, the transfer of all addresses from the 103/8 block will be restricted until five years after delegation.

### **prop-118: No need policy in APNIC region**

This proposal to remove the requirement to demonstrate need when transferring IPv4 addresses did not reach consensus. Speakers for and against the proposal could not come to an agreement. The Chair noted three speakers strongly opposing the proposal and said that if the author wished to continue with the proposal he should take into account all the comments and adjust the proposal.

### **prop-119: Temporary transfers**

A proposal to allow temporary transfer of IPv4 addresses that would revert to the source account at the end of a specific period, did not reach consensus. The Chair invited the author to consider the questions raised during the discussion as some clarification might help him come up with a good proposition that could reach consensus.

### **prop-120: Final /8 pool exhaustion plan**

This proposal to agree on post-exhaustion policy and pool management for the Final /8 (103/8) did not reach consensus. Discussions around fairness and waiting list management raised many questions about the best solution. The Chair sent the proposal back to the mailing list and invited the community to give comments and suggestions to the author. The author indicated he would post a new version in the future.

### **prop-121: Updating “Initial IPv6 allocation” policy**

The first of two related proposals to change IPv6 allocation request criteria reaching consensus, this proposal mainly focuses on initial allocations bigger than the default (/32). The change would allow requests to consider factors such as the number of users, the extent of the organization’s infrastructure, the hierarchical and geographical structuring of the organization, the segmentation of infrastructure for security, and the planned longevity of the allocation.

### **prop-122: Updating “Subsequent IPv6 allocation” policy**

Also reaching consensus, this proposal is similar to prop-121 (above). This proposal changes the request criteria for subsequent requests for allocations bigger than the default (doubling of the allocation). It allows assessment to be made on the same factors as prop-121. Account holders would still have to reach the same utilization rate to justify a further allocation.

## Useful Links

- Subscribe to the Policy Discussion mailing list:
  - <https://mailman.apnic.net/mailling-lists/sig-policy>

- Visit the APNIC 44 policy page:
  - <https://conference.apnic.net/44/policy>